Arshia Hashemi

Conference on Empirical Legal Studies The University of Chicago Law School October 14, 2023

Arshia.Hashemi@chicagobooth.edu

arshiahashemi.com



Discussion of "Social Security and the Racial Wealth Gap" by Catherine and Sarin

An Empirical Paper with a Noteworthy Contribution

- **Puzzle**: In the U.S., the racial wealth gap is ~6x, while the racial income gap is ~1.5x.
- Main Point: One misses part of the picture by excluding social security in measures of wealth inequality.
- Main Result: Racial wealth gap shrinks to ~2x after including social security in total wealth (2019 SCF).
- **My discussion**: Taking the main result as given, what can we learn about *ex-ante heterogeneity* across racial groups that affects the racial wealth gap?
 - 1. Interpret main result through the lens of a simple dynamic model of consumption-saving.
 - 2. Use the model to derive testable implications for ex-ante heterogeneity across racial groups.
- Recent research establishes the importance of heterogeneity in initial conditions for dynamics of the racial wealth gap (Derenoncourt, Kim, Kuhn, Schularick, 2023).



2

Modeling Drivers of the Racial Wealth Gap

- Consider a dynamic two-period model: working period t = 0 and retirement period t = 1. •
- Household's dynamic optimization problem. •

s.t. $c_0 + a_1 = y_0 - \tau y_0 + a_0$

- Allow for three sources of ex-ante heterogeneity across racial groups. •
 - Initial private wealth endowment: $a_0 \ge 0$ 1.
 - Labor income in working period: $y_0 > 0$ 2.
 - Gross rate of return on private wealth: $R_m > 1$ 3.
- Model parameters that are common across racial groups. \bullet
 - Discount rate: $\beta \in (0,1)$ 1.
 - Gross rate of return on social security wealth: $R_s > 1$ 2.
 - Share of labor income paid into social security: $\tau \in (0,1)$



- $V(a_0, y_0, R_m) \coloneqq \max_{c_0, c_1, a_1} \{ \ln c_0 + \beta \ln c_1 \}$

 - $c_1 = R_m a_1 + R_s \tau y_0$



Characterizing the Racial Wealth-Income Wedge

- \bullet
 - Racial wealth gap *exclusive* of social security wealth. 1.

$$G_{m} := \frac{R_{m}(w)a_{1}^{*}(a_{0}(w), y_{0}(w), R_{m}(w))}{R_{m}(b)a_{1}^{*}(a_{0}(b), y_{0}(b), R_{m}(b))} = \underbrace{\left[\frac{\beta R_{m}(w) \left[(1 - \tau) + \frac{a_{0}(w)}{y_{0}(w)} \right] - R_{s}\tau}{\beta R_{m}(b) \left[(1 - \tau) + \frac{a_{0}(b)}{y_{0}(b)} \right] - R_{s}\tau} \right]}_{\text{Wealth-Income Wedge 1}} \cdot \frac{y_{0}(w)}{y_{0}(b)}$$
I wealth gap inclusive of social security wealth.
$$\frac{R_{m}(w)a_{1}^{*}(a_{0}(w), y_{0}(w), R_{m}(w)) + R_{s}\tau y_{0}(w)}{R_{m}(b)a_{1}^{*}(a_{0}(b), y_{0}(b), R_{m}(b)) + R_{s}\tau y_{0}(w)} = \underbrace{\left[\frac{R_{m}(w) \left[(1 - \tau) + \frac{a_{0}(w)}{y_{0}(w)} \right] + R_{s}\tau}{R_{m}(b)a_{1}^{*}(a_{0}(b), y_{0}(b), R_{m}(b)) + R_{s}\tau y_{0}(b)} = \underbrace{\left[\frac{R_{m}(w) \left[(1 - \tau) + \frac{a_{0}(b)}{y_{0}(w)} \right] + R_{s}\tau}{Wealth-Income Wedge 2} \right]}_{\text{Wealth-Income Wedge 2}} \cdot \frac{y_{0}(w)}{y_{0}(b)}$$
erminant of the wealth-income wedge is the composite variable: $R_{m} \left[(1 - \tau) + \frac{a_{0}}{y_{0}} \right].$

2.

$$G_{m} \coloneqq \frac{R_{m}(w)a_{1}^{*}(a_{0}(w), y_{0}(w), R_{m}(w))}{R_{m}(b)a_{1}^{*}(a_{0}(b), y_{0}(b), R_{m}(b))} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \beta R_{m}(w) \left[(1-\tau) + \frac{a_{0}(w)}{y_{0}(w)} \right] - R_{s}\tau}{\beta R_{m}(b) \left[(1-\tau) + \frac{a_{0}(b)}{y_{0}(b)} \right] - R_{s}\tau} \\ \cdot \frac{y_{0}(w)}{y_{0}(b)} \end{bmatrix}$$
Racial wealth gap *inclusive* of social security wealth.

$$G_{s} \coloneqq \frac{R_{m}(w)a_{1}^{*}(a_{0}(w), y_{0}(w), R_{m}(w)) + R_{s}\tau y_{0}(w)}{R_{m}(b)a_{1}^{*}(a_{0}(b), y_{0}(b), R_{m}(b)) + R_{s}\tau y_{0}(w)} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} R_{m}(w) \left[(1-\tau) + \frac{a_{0}(w)}{y_{0}(w)} \right] - R_{s}\tau}{R_{m}(b)a_{1}^{*}(a_{0}(b), y_{0}(b), R_{m}(b)) + R_{s}\tau y_{0}(w)} \\ = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} R_{m}(w) \left[(1-\tau) + \frac{a_{0}(b)}{y_{0}(b)} \right] + R_{s}\tau}{Wealth-Income Wedge 2} \cdot \frac{y_{0}(w)}{y_{0}(b)} \\ Wealth-Income Wedge 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
we determinant of the wealth-income wedge is the composite variable: $R_{m} \left[(1-\tau) + \frac{a_{0}}{y_{0}} \right].$

Ake



Characterize **two** measures of the racial wealth gap using endogenous private wealth policy function $a_1^*(a_0, y_0, R_m)$.

Testable Implications of a Smaller Racial Wealth Gap

 \bullet

$$R_m(w)\left[(1-\tau) + \frac{a_0(w)}{y_0(w)}\right] > R_m(b)\left[(1-\tau) + \frac{a_0(b)}{y_0(b)}\right] \quad (1)$$

- Consider two special cases. •
 - Zero initial private wealth endowment, i.e., $a_0(w) =$ 1.

$$R_m$$

Equal rate of return on private wealth, i.e., $R_m(w) = R_m(b) = R_m > 1$. 2.

$$\frac{a_0(w)}{y_0(w)} > \frac{a_0(b)}{y_0(b)} \quad (3)$$

- \bullet
- racial wealth gap. If not, then we falsify the model. Either way, one learns something new.



What does a smaller racial wealth gap (inclusive of social security) imply about ex-ante heterogeneity across racial groups?

$$a_0(b) = 0.$$

 $_{n}(w) > R_{m}(b) \quad (2)$

Social security compensates for ex-ante heterogeneity in (i) private returns R_m or (ii) initial private wealth a_0/y_0 or (iii) both. **Suggestion**: Test whether model predictions (eq. 1, 2, 3) hold in the data. If so, then ex-ante heterogeneity is a driver of the



5